PEOPLE MATTER 2016

NSW Public Sector Employee Survey

ccountant Ti

Doctor Policy Analyst Surveyor Scientist Barrister Solicitor Social Worker Welfare Worker Laboratory Technician Turner Plumber Electrician Zookeeper Cleaner Ambulance Officer Either Youth Worker Hospital Orderly Cleaner Fire Fighter Clerk

Ambulance Officer Filt.
Engineer Receptionis. S.
Nurse Police Officer M.
Museum Guide ConsuMuseum Guide ConsuMarden Prison Officer
Train Driver Bus DriveSurveyor Scientist NurLaboratory Tumer Pluri
Worker Hospital OrderTrain Chief Martine Transp.
Conservator Plant OpPlant Operator Nurse.

OPLE of the ATTER of the ATTER

NSW Public Sector ker Cable Er Employee Survey Social Worke

> ial Worker Cleaner Fitter. Fire Fighter ter Museum Guide Conservator Plant or Engineer Electrical Linesworker Cable Jointer Plant Operator Ranger Teacher Nurse Librarian Advisor

Cluster Report

Justice



CONTENTS

CONTENTS OF REPORT

HEADLINES	3
QUESTION HEADLINES	4
QUESTION GROUPS	6
COMPARISONS	13
ALL QUESTIONS	15
PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS	44
DEMOGRAPHIC RESULTS	49
GUIDE TO THIS REPORT	62

HEADLINES

RESPONSE RATE

35%

14,988 RESPONSES OUT OF 43,082 EMPLOYEES

ENGAGEMENT INDEX

62%

PMES 2016
SECTOR SCORE

65%

PMES 2014 SECTOR SCORE **65%**

PMES 2014 CLUSTER SCORE **62%**



ENGAGEMENT

Engaged employees have a sense of personal attachment to their work and organisation; they are motivated and able to give their best to help the organisation succeed.

The complete list of questions which are used to calculate the Engagement Index are listed in the All Questions pages.

RESPONSE RATE

Due to the size of the NSW public sector, even comparatively low levels of response to People Matter can be statistically representative and an accurate reflection of perceptions. Any parts of the sector where the response rate is too low for data to be fully reliable have been flagged in reports.

Some entities exceeded 100% where responses were greater than the employee headcount. This is thought to be primarily due to employees selecting the wrong work location in the survey.

QUESTION HEADLINES

+	HIGHEST AGREEMENT SCORING QUESTIONS	2016 AGREEMEN
1h.	I look for ways to perform my job more effectively	92%
1a.	I understand what is expected of me to do well in my role	89%
1d.	I feel I make a contribution to achieving the organisation's objectives	82%
7c.	My organisation strives to earn and sustain a high level of public trust	80%
8i.	Diversity and inclusion in the workplace can contribute to better business outcomes	80%
2i.	People in my workgroup treat customers/clients with respect	79%
2a.	My workgroup strives to achieve customer/client satisfaction	78%
2e.	I receive help and support from other members of my workgroup	76%
7b.	My organisation strives to match services to customer/client needs	75%
7a.	My organisation provides high quality services	74%

% **L**

•	QUESTIONS	2016 AGREEME
15.	I believe action will be taken on the results from this survey by my organisation	24%
71.	My organisation's processes for recruiting employees are efficient	29%
6h.	I feel that senior managers listen to employees	31%
7 f.	I feel that change is handled well in my organisation	31%
7m.	Recruitment and promotion decisions in this organisation are generally fair	33%
6g.	I feel that senior managers keep employees informed about what's going on	34%
9b.	I have confidence in the ways my organisation resolves grievances	35%
6b.	I feel that senior leaders effectively lead and manage change	35%
6d.	Senior managers encourage innovation by employees	37%
7h.	People in my organisation take responsibility for their own actions	37%



YOUR PEOPLE MATTER QUESTION RESULTS AT A GLANCE

These are your highest and lowest scoring questions from the survey, based on respondents who have selected 'Strongly Agree' and 'Agree'.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ALMOST 15,000 EMPLOYEES ACROSS THE JUSTICE CLUSTER TOOK TO THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THEIR SAY IN PEOPLE MATTER

The People Matter Employee Survey was open to all employees in the Justice cluster between 2nd and 31st May 2016. Almost 15,000 employees took the opportunity to have their say, resulting in a 35% response rate. This level of response is sufficient for the analysis of data across Justice and all of its organisations to be robust and representative.

People Matter has demonstrated that levels of employee engagement across Justice remain stable at 62%, the same as 2014. The highest engagement scores were in the Sydney Opera House (75%) and the State Library of NSW (74%).

Employee engagement with organisations is lower than engagement with work. An observable finding across Justice and the sector is that employees are more positive towards their immediate working environment and team, with positivity declining when focus shifts to their manager, senior managers, and their organisation.

Analysis of People Matter has shown that questions relating to continuous improvement, collaboration between teams and capability to do the work are driving engagement to the strongest degree in Justice.

Communication is an enabler for high performance and while 51% of employees in Justice were positive about this area it is below the sector average of 59%. Perceptions of how immediate managers communicate were stronger than perceptions of senior management communication, a common finding across the sector.

The results for questions relating to high performance showed that while perceptions were broadly positive about contribution to outcomes and efficiency and effectiveness, and particularly positive for understanding job expectations and contributing to achieving organisational objectives, there was less positivity when it came to collaboration between teams and leadership of innovation.

The public sector values of integrity, trust, service and accountability guide the high standards required of all employees and organisations across the sector. Respondents across Justice demonstrate higher agreement with aspects of service and less positive scores for questions related to accountability.

The majority of respondents in Justice agreed that diversity and inclusion in the workplace can contribute to better business outcomes. Younger and female employees were more positive about diversity and inclusion. Aboriginal and Torres Straight Islander and LGBTI employees, and employees who spoke a language other than English at home, were broadly in line with the Justice average. Perceptions of employees with a disability, a diagnosed mental health condition or carer responsibilities were consistently less positive across all areas.

This report focuses on all the key questions groups in People Matter, comparing performance in Justice to the sector average, and where possible providing analysis to demonstrate the themes and areas which if improved, are most likely to influence improvements in engagement and other key performance indicators.

The initial overview sections are followed by summary results for all clusters and all agencies in this cluster. The Key Questions section contains cluster results for the individual questions and the aggregate scores for each question group. A profile of respondents and select demographic responses are near the end of the report.

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT IS STEADY ACROSS JUSTICE

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure Employee Engagement are listed in the All Questions section.

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	65%
620/	PMES 2014 SECTOR SCORE	65%
62%	PMES 2014 CLUSTER SCORE	62%


KEY DRIVERS OF ENGAGEMENT

The following questions have been identified through an advanced statistical technique called **Key Driver Analysis** to be the questions with the strongest impact on Employee Engagement.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see an corresponding **impact on the Engagement index**.

*	KEY DRIVERS OF ENGAGEMENT	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
7e.	My organisation is making the necessary improvements to meet our future challenges	52%	62%
7d.	My organisation focuses on improving the work we do	67%	76%
7g.	There is good co-operation between teams across our organisation	42%	48%
7n.	My organisation generally selects capable people to do the job	39%	51%
7j.	My organisation is committed to developing its employees	43%	53%
Зј.	I am satisfied with the opportunities available for career development in my organisation	38%	45%

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT BY CLUSTER



Employee engagement is a long established and widely deployed business and organisational performance indicator. Definitions vary widely, however one of the most comprehensive studies into engagement by the Engage for Success Taskforce, commissioned in 2009 by the United Kingdom government, concluded that:

"Engaged employees have a sense of personal attachment to their work and organisation; they are motivated and able to give their best to help it succeed – and from that flows a series of tangible benefits for organisation and individual alike"

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

ENGAGEMENT HAS BEEN STABLE SINCE 2014

The overall Engagement Index score for Justice is 62%, slightly below the sector average of 65%. At an agency level, the arts organisations and Fire and Rescue have the highest scores (above 70%), particularly the Sydney Opera House (75%) and State Library of NSW (74%), while scores for the Department of Justice and the NSW Police Force are below the cluster average.

The majority of respondents indicated that they feel proud to tell others where they work (66%). This is the highest score in the Index, a trend reflected across the sector. Questions related to inspiration and motivation returned comparatively lower levels of agreement (both 48%) which is also consistent with sector trends.

People Matter also examined the levels of engagement with work, an area which tends to attract higher scores than engagement with broader areas of an organisation. In Justice, the aggregate score was 73% with the vast majority of respondents agreeing that they look for ways to do their job more effectively (92%). This mirrors the wider trend observed in People Matter where respondents tended to answer more positively when asked about something directly related to their immediate work or work group, with higher neutrality and negativity recorded when asked about aspects further from their immediate experience, such as their manager, senior managers or the organisation as a whole.

Engagement in Justice tapers off with age, with younger respondents being the most engaged with their organisation. Exploring by seniority, there is little variance between managers (63%) and non managers (62%). However, managers of managers are more positive (68%) as are senior executives (74%). This is consistent with salary data where those earning more than \$140,000 are notably more engaged, and employees earning \$230,000+ the most engaged (87%). Employees earning under \$35,000 also score comparatively higher (74%).

Also of note are the levels of engagement recorded for employees with longer tenure. A typical trend found in research is that levels of engagement for new employees begin high, fall to their lowest after 2-5+ years and recover for very long servers of over 10+ years to higher than average levels. However, this 'U-shaped' pattern is not reflected in this cluster, or the NSW public sector as a whole. In Justice, employees with 10+ years tenure within their current roles are the least engaged (57%).

Engagement levels for different demographic groups are broadly similar with no significant differences for gender, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and LGBTI employees, full or part-time employees and those with different levels of education.

The scale of the survey and the amount of data collected allows the robust use of statistical technique called Key Driver Analysis to explore items in the survey with the strongest association with the Engagement Index, and therefore providing one way to understand what themes are most influencing levels of engagement.

The analysis revealed that questions relating to improvements to meet future challenges, improving work, co-operation between teams and selecting the right people to do the job have a significant impact on levels of engagement in Justice.

SENIOR MANAGERS

AN AREA OF FOCUS FOR JUSTICE

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure Senior Managers are listed in the All Questions section.

SENIOR MANAGERS	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	47%
200/	PMES 2016 CLUSTER HIGH	58%
38%	PMES 2016 CLUSTER LOW	38%

KEY INFLUENCERS

The following questions have been identified through a **statistical correlation analysis** as the questions with the strongest association with the Senior Managers.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see a corresponding **influence on perceptions of the Senior Managers.**

*	KEY INFLUENCERS	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
7j.	My organisation is committed to developing its employees	43%	53%
7e.	My organisation is making the necessary improvements to meet our future challenges	52%	62%
9b.	I have confidence in the ways my organisation resolves grievances	35%	43%
1f.	I am provided with the support I need to optimise my contribution at work	51%	59%
7m.	Recruitment and promotion decisions in this organisation are generally fair	33%	41%
7d.	My organisation focuses on improving the work we do	67%	76%

Results from People Matter demonstrate that overall perceptions of senior managers across Justice are below the sector average. The aggregate agreement score for this question group was 38%, nine percentage points below the sector average. Overall perceptions of senior managers were less positive for this cluster than other areas measured in the survey but this is a trend reflected across the sector.

Perceptions of senior managers were more positive in the State Library of NSW (52%) and the Sydney Opera House (49%) but appeared to be of particular challenge in the NSW State Emergency Service (22%).

Just over 50% of respondents agreed that senior managers communicate the importance of customers in achieving business objectives, which reinforces the strong emphasis on customer service as a focus for this cluster. However, this is offset by lower levels of agreement on all other items, particularly with regards to change management and listening to employees (both 31%). Relatively high proportions of respondents across the cluster provided a neutral response to most questions. This is not uncommon in large and complex organisations due to the reduced visibility of leaders.

By tenure in current roles, employees with less than 2 years of service held the most positive perceptions. Amongst demographic groups, there were no significant differences for gender or Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander or LGBTI employees. However, managers of other managers were notably more positive, as were senior executives.

KEY INFLUENCERS

Analysis revealed that responses to survey questions about organisation commitment to developing employees, making the necessary improvements for future challenges, confidence in grievance processes and the provision of support to optimise employee contributions strongly influenced perceptions of senior managers.

There is some overlap between what influences perceptions of senior managers and the drivers of engagement, including organisation commitment to: developing employees, making the necessary improvements for future challenges and improving work.

COMMUNICATION

RESULTS RANGE FROM 51% to 70%

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure Communication are listed in the All Questions section.

COMMUNICATION	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	59%
E40/	PMES 2016 CLUSTER HIGH	70%
51%	PMES 2016 CLUSTER LOW	51%

KEY INFLUENCERS

The following questions have been identified through a **statistical correlation analysis** as the questions with the strongest association with the Communication.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see a corresponding **influence on perceptions of the Communication.**

*	KEY INFLUENCERS	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
5d.	My manager listens to what I have to say	66%	73%
5h.	My manager takes into account the differing needs and circumstances of employees when making decisions	58%	65%
5j.	I have confidence in the decisions my line manager makes	61%	67%
5i.	My manager would take appropriate action if decision-making processes were found to be biased	58%	64%
5m.	My manager provides acknowledgement or other recognition for the work I do	60%	67%
51.	My manager talks to me about how the values apply to my work	49%	58%

Empowering and connecting with employees through communication is vital to successful organisations. Across Justice, questions about communication averaged 51% agreement, compared to the sector average of 59%.

There were positive scores in the State Library of NSW (67%), Museum of Applied Arts and Sciences (62%), Sydney Opera House (58%) and Art Gallery of NSW (57%). Results for the NSW Police Force and NSW State Emergency Service were below the cluster average (both 46%).

There were higher levels of agreement for questions relating to communication from immediate managers and supervisors, a common trend across the sector. Overall, 63% agreed that their manager communicates effectively, 62% felt able to speak up and share different views and 60% agreed that their manager encourages and values employee input. Notably fewer agreed that senior managers listen to employees (31%) or that senior managers keep employees informed about what's going on (34%).

Employees in the majority of job types were more positive than the Justice average, except for those working in service delivery roles (with and without direct contact with the general public) where results were similar to the Justice average. Part time employees were more positive (56%) than full time employees (51%), while those in a part time role were notably more positive when it came feeling direct managers communicate effectively, and encourage and value employee input.

KEY INFLUENCERS

Analysis shows that wider perceptions of direct managers were fundamentally influencing perceptions about communication in Justice. It highlighted the importance of managers listening to employees and taking differing needs into account when making decisions, as well as employees having confidence in decisions by managers.

HIGH PERFORMANCE

RESULTS RANGE FROM 61% to 73%

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure High Performance are listed in the All Questions section.

HIGH PERFORMANCE	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	68%
640/	PMES 2016 CLUSTER HIGH	73%
61%	PMES 2016 CLUSTER LOW	61%

KEY INFLUENCERS

The following questions have been identified through a **statistical correlation analysis** as the questions with the strongest association with the High Performance.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see a corresponding **influence on perceptions of the High Performance.**

*	KEY INFLUENCERS	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
1f.	I am provided with the support I need to optimise my contribution at work	51%	59%
5f.	My manager encourages and values employee input	60%	69%
5g.	My manager involves my workgroup in decisions about our work	55%	64%
7j.	My organisation is committed to developing its employees	43%	53%
5i.	My manager would take appropriate action if decision-making processes were found to be biased	58%	64%
6h.	I feel that senior managers listen to employees	31%	39%

High Performance has been described as the consistent achievement of increased productivity through the setting of rigorous goals, targets and priorities, all of which are aligned across the organisation. Six separate factors and 21 questions were used to measure high performance in People Matter. As an aggregate score, the result was 61% in Justice, compared to the sector average of 68%.

Across Justice, results were strongest in the State Library of NSW (75%), Sydney Opera House (69%), Museum of Applied Sciences (68%) and Art Gallery of NSW (67%). All other agencies were broadly in line with the Justice average, with the exception of NSW State Emergency Services (52%).

Exploring high performance by subgroups, the highest positive result was for Outcomes (81%) followed by Efficiency and Effectiveness (70%) with the majority understanding expectations in their role (89%) and feeling they make a contribution to organisational objectives (82%).

Results for Collaboration (52%) were variable with a high proportion of respondents agreeing that their team works collaboratively (71%) while less than half believe there is good co-operation between teams (42%). This trend is seen across the sector.

Results for Capability (57%) were also variable with 71% agreeing that people in their workgroup have the skills to do their job well but only 39% agreeing that the organisation selects capable people to do the job.

The notion of Continuous Improvement had an overall score of 59% while results for questions relating to innovation were mixed with 57% agreeing that workgroups share diverse ideas to develop innovative solutions and 37% agreeing that senior managers encourage innovation while 32% disagree.

KEY INFLUENCERS

Analysis revealed that perceptions about workplace support, employee input to work decisions and organisation commitment to developing employees strongly influence perceptions about high performance.

PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES

RESULTS RANGE FROM 59% to 74%

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure Public Sector Values are listed in the All Questions section.

PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	66%
50 0/	PMES 2016 CLUSTER HIGH	74%
59%	PMES 2016 CLUSTER LOW	59%


KEY INFLUENCERS

The following questions have been identified through a **statistical correlation analysis** as the questions with the strongest association with the Public Sector Values.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see a corresponding **influence on perceptions of the Public Sector Values.**

*	KEY INFLUENCERS	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
5f.	My manager encourages and values employee input	60%	69%
5j.	I have confidence in the decisions my line manager makes	61%	67%
5h.	My manager takes into account the differing needs and circumstances of employees when making decisions	58%	65%
5g.	My manager involves my workgroup in decisions about our work	55%	64%
5e.	My manager communicates effectively with me	63%	69%
6b.	I feel that senior leaders effectively lead and manage change	35%	43%

PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES



The core values for the public sector of Integrity, Trust, Service and Accountability were measured using 22 survey questions. Overall, Justice had an aggregate agreement score of 59% compared to the sector average of 66%. Most of the arts organisations and the Crown Solicitor's Office were well above the cluster average with the Department of Justice and the NSW Emergency Services below the average.

Results indicate a relatively high commitment to service with strong scores for customer satisfaction (78%), meeting customer/client needs (75%) and providing high quality services (74%). Fewer employees (51%) feel senior managers communicate the importance of customers.

Integrity questions relate to employees being open and honest (63%), policies and procedures to ensure there are no conflicts of interest (62%), and managers taking action about biased decisions (58%). Fewer agree that managers talk to employees about applying the values (49%) or that senior managers model the values (40%).

The highest scoring questions are related to trust – the organisation strives to obtain a high level of public trust (80%) and workgroups treat customers/clients with respect (79%).

Accountability questions have positive results for using time and resources efficiently (63%) and managers encouraging people to improve the quality of work (62%) but only 37% of respondents feel people take responsibility for their actions.

KEY INFLUENCERS

Analysis has shown that the effectiveness of managers in communicating well, holding the confidence of the staff that they manage, involving them in decisions and taking into account differing needs, strongly impacts on perceptions of public values across Justice.

DIVERSITY & INCLUSION

RESULTS RANGE FROM 61% to 75%

A complete list of the questions which are used to measure Diversity & Inclusion are listed in the All Questions section.

DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	PMES 2016 SECTOR SCORE	67%
640/	PMES 2016 CLUSTER HIGH	75%
61%	PMES 2016 CLUSTER LOW	61%

KEY INFLUENCERS

The following questions have been identified through a **statistical correlation analysis** as the questions with the strongest association with the Diversity & Inclusion.

Focus on improving perceptions on these questions should see a corresponding **influence on perceptions of the Diversity & Inclusion.**

*	KEY INFLUENCERS	% AGREEMENT	% SECTOR
5e.	My manager communicates effectively with me	63%	69%
5g.	My manager involves my workgroup in decisions about our work	55%	64%
5j.	I have confidence in the decisions my line manager makes	61%	67%
5m.	My manager provides acknowledgement or other recognition for the work I do	60%	67%
5k.	My manager treats employees with dignity and respect	70%	76%
3f.	I feel I can have open, honest conversations with my manager about the quality of work required	64%	71%

The concepts of workplace diversity and inclusion encompass physical and cultural differences among employees, recognition of differences in working styles and approaches as well as involvement and empowerment, where the inherent worth and dignity of all people are treated as paramount. A total of 11 questions focused on diversity and inclusion in People Matter.

Across Justice, the aggregate score for diversity and inclusion was 61%, compared to 67% for the sector average. All organisations were broadly in line or above the cluster average except the NSW Police Force and NSW Rural Fire Service.

At the cluster level, responses were broadly positive. A significant majority agreed that diversity and inclusion in the workplace can contribute to better business outcomes (80%) and that the organisation respects individual differences (69%). Comparatively lower levels of agreement were related to senior managers supporting the career advancement of women (53%) and employees having the support they require to optimise their contribution at work (51%).

Women (64%) are more positive about diversity and inclusion than men (59%) while younger employees (<35 years) are the most positive. Employees who have carer responsibilities (60%) were less positive than those who do not (64%). Employees with a disability tended to be notably less positive. There were no notable differences for ATSI or LGBTI employees or those who speak a language other than English at home.

60% of employees are satisfied with flexible working arrangements, slightly above the sector average (58%). Employees in service delivery roles with direct contact with the public are notably less positive (54%) about their flexible working arrangements.

KEY INFLUENCERS

Analysis revealed that employees across the cluster explicitly link their experiences of diversity and inclusion with their opinions about their direct manager. The top six questions which most strongly correlated with the answers to diversity and inclusion questions related to communication, involvement in decisions and confidence in manager decisions. Treating employees fairly and with dignity and respect was also linked with diversity and inclusion.

CLUSTER COMPARISON



EXPLORE RESULTS ACROSS THE PUBLIC SECTOR

This page compares cluster scores for the key question groups.

The engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in a group.

Consider the range of scores in this cluster and how they compare to other clusters.

	Justice	Education	Family and Community Services	Finance	Health	Industry	Planning and Environment	Transport	Treasury	Premier and Cabinet
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	27488	7331	6755	38927	6882	4014	13680	774	1367
ENGAGEMENT	62%	69%	63%	66%	65%	61%	68%	63%	68%	70%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	56%	44%	53%	45%	41%	46%	47%	58%	55%
COMMUNICATION	51%	63%	61%	64%	57%	59%	63%	59%	70%	66%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	73%	68%	71%	68%	65%	69%	66%	73%	72%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	71%	67%	71%	64%	65%	68%	66%	74%	72%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	69%	70%	72%	65%	69%	73%	67%	75%	73%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN REPORT SCORE r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS

AGENCY COMPARISON



EXPLORE RESULTS FOR LOWER LEVEL BUSINESS UNITS

This page compares scores for each of the business units below this report.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Department of Justice	Australian Museum	Sydney Opera House	Art Gallery of NSW	Museum of Applied Arts and Sciences	State Library of NSW	Crown Solicitor's Office	NSW Police Force	Fire and Rescue NSW	NSW State Emergency Service	NSW Rural Fire Service
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	7884	171	145	111	185	221	245	4166	1234	248	378
ENGAGEMENT	62%	60%	73%	75%	71%	71%	74%	67%	61%	72%	63%	65%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	38%	40%	49%	42%	45%	52%	41%	36%	37%	22%	37%
COMMUNICATION	51%	52%	55%	58%	57%	62%	67%	55%	46%	54%	46%	47%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	61%	65%	69%	67%	68%	75%	65%	59%	62%	52%	59%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	58%	63%	69%	67%	67%	73%	67%	59%	64%	54%	59%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	61%	70%	69%	70%	73%	77%	67%	57%	65%	61%	58%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN REPORT SCORE r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

ENGAGEMENT	62% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	PMES 2014	SECTOR
Q7o. I would recommend my organisation as a great place to work	15 39 28 11 7	54%	51%	60%
Q7p. I am proud to tell others I work for my organisation	23 43 22 7	66%	68%	68%
Q7q. I feel a strong personal attachment to my organisation	23 40 23 9	63%	66%	64%
Q7r. My organisation motivates me to help it achieve its objectives	13 34 31 14 7	48%	46%	55%
Q7s. My organisation inspires me to do the best in my job	15 33 30 14 8	48%	45%	55%












EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.







Neither agree nor disagree





EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

SENIOR MANAGERS	38% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q6a. I believe senior managers provide clear direction for the future of the organisation	8 29 27 20 15	37%	47%
Q6b. I feel that senior leaders effectively lead and manage change	8 27 27 20 17	35%	43%
Q6c. I feel that senior managers model the values of my organisation	9 31 29 16 15	40%	48%
Q6d. Senior managers encourage innovation by employees	7 29 31 19 13	37%	49%
Q6e. Senior managers promote collaboration between my organisation and others we work with	8 35 32 14 10	43%	52%
Q6f. Senior managers communicate the importance of customers in achieving our business objectives	11 41 28 12 9	51%	60%
Q6g. I feel that senior managers keep employees informed about what's going on	27 26 21 18	34%	44%
Q6h. I feel that senior managers listen to employees	24 29 21 19	31%	39%
Q7f. I feel that change is handled well in my organisation	25 28 25 15	31%	41%













EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

COMMUNICATION	51%	RESPON	ISE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q5e. My manager communicates effectively with me	21	42	18 10 9	63%	69%
Q5f. My manager encourages and values employee input	21	39	20 10 9	60%	69%
Q5g. My manager involves my workgroup in decisions about our work	17	38	22 14 10	55%	64%
Q6g. I feel that senior managers keep employees informed about what's going on	27	26	21 18	34%	44%
Q6h. I feel that senior managers listen to employees	24	29	21 19	31%	39%
Q8h. I am able to speak up and share a different view to my colleagues and manager	15	48	18 12 7	62%	69%











EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	RESPON:	SE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q1a. I understand what is expected of me to do well in my role	37		52	89%	90%
Q1b. I have the tools I need to do my job effectively	16	49	16 15	65%	70%
Q1c. I get the information I need to do my job well	14	49	21 13	63%	67%
Q1d. I feel I make a contribution to achieving the organisation's objectives	31	5′	11	82%	86%
Q1e. I feel I am able to suggest ideas to improve our way of doing things	18	42	17 15 7	61%	69%
Q2b. People in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	17	46	20 13	63%	70%
Q2c. My team works collaboratively to achieve its objectives	22	49	16 9	71%	75%
Q2d. People in my workgroup have the appropriate skills to do the job well	20	51	17 10	71%	76%
Q3h. I have received appropriate training and development to do my job well	13	45	22 13 7	57%	63%





Neither agree nor disagree Disagree



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

HIGH PERFORMANCE	61% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q5a. My manager encourages people in my workgroup to improve the quality of what they do	17 46 21 11	62%	72%
Q5b. My manager encourages people in my workgroup to review policies and programs to see if they are achieving their aims	14 38 26 15	52%	64%
Q5c. My manager assigns work to people in my workgroup based on their skills and expertise	14 42 23 14 8	56%	65%
Q5j. I have confidence in the decisions my line manager makes	19 42 21 11	61%	67%
Q6d. Senior managers encourage innovation by employees	7 29 31 19 13	37%	49%
Q6e. Senior managers promote collaboration between my organisation and others we work with	8 35 32 14 10	43%	52%
Q7d. My organisation focuses on improving the work we do	19 48 21 8	67%	76%
Q7e. My organisation is making the necessary improvements to meet our future challenges	14 38 27 14 7	52%	62%
Q7g. There is good co-operation between teams across our organisation	8 34 28 20 10	42%	48%














EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY









EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59% RESPONS	SE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q2a. My workgroup strives to achieve customer/client satisfaction	28 50	15	78%	85%
Q2b. People in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	17 46	20 13	63%	70%
Q2g. People in my workgroup are honest, open and transparent in their dealings	22 41	19 12	63%	67%
Q2h. People in my workgroup treat each other with respect	23 44	18 10	67%	72%
Q2i. People in my workgroup treat customers/clients with respect	28 52	14	79%	86%
Q5a. My manager encourages people in my workgroup to improve the quality of what they do	17 46	21 11	62%	72%
Q5b. My manager encourages people in my workgroup to review policies and programs to see if they are achieving their aims	14 38	26 15	52%	64%
Q5d. My manager listens to what I have to say	22 44	17 9 8	66%	73%
Q5i. My manager would take appropriate action if decision-making processes were found to be biased	19 39	22 10 10	58%	64%











EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q5k. My manager treats employees with dignity and respect	25 46 16 7	70%	76%
Q5l. My manager talks to me about how the values apply to my work	15 34 28 15 8	49%	58%
Q6a. I believe senior managers provide clear direction for the future of the organisation	8 29 27 20 15	37%	47%
Q6c. I feel that senior managers model the values of my organisation	9 31 29 16 15	40%	48%
Q6f. Senior managers communicate the importance of customers in achieving our business objectives	11 41 28 12 9	51%	60%
Q6g. I feel that senior managers keep employees informed about what's going on	27 26 21 18	34%	44%
Q6h. I feel that senior managers listen to employees	24 29 21 19	31%	39%
Q7a. My organisation provides high quality services	22 53 18	74%	80%
Q7b. My organisation strives to match services to customer/client needs	21 54 18	75%	80%












EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY





EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	6 RESPO	NSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q1f. I am provided with the support I need to optimise my contribution at work	13	38	23 18 8	51%	59%
Q5d. My manager listens to what I have to say	22	44	17 9 8	66%	73%
Q5f. My manager encourages and values employee input	21	39	20 10 9	60%	69%
Q5h. My manager takes into account the differing needs and circumstances of employees when making decisions	18	40	22 11 9	58%	65%
Q5i. My manager would take appropriate action if decision-making processes were found to be biased	19	39	22 10 10	58%	64%
Q6i. Senior managers in my organisation genuinely support the career advancement of women	17	36	33 8	53%	54%
Q8a. My organisation respects individual differences (e.g cultures, working styles, backgrounds, ideas)	17	52	20	69%	75%
Q8g. People in my workgroup share diverse ideas to develop innovative solutions	13	44	29 11	57%	67%
Q8h. I am able to speak up and share a different view to my colleagues and manager	15	48	18 12 7	62%	69%














EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.














EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY










EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY



PAGE 28







EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK & DEVELOPMENT	50% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q3a. I have a current performance plan that sets out my individual objectives	9 34 23 23 11	43%	62%
Q3b. I have informal feedback conversations with my manager throughout the year	15 44 16 15 9	60%	70%
Q3c. I have scheduled feedback conversations with my manager throughout the year	12 33 20 23 12	45%	58%
Q3d. In the last 12 months I received useful feedback on my work to enable me to deliver required results	12 37 21 18 11	49%	59%
Q3e. My performance is assessed against clear criteria	9 32 27 20 12	41%	53%
Q3f. I feel I can have open, honest conversations with my manager about the quality of work required	21 44 15 11 9	64%	71%
Q3g. I am able to access the right learning and development opportunities as required	12 41 23 14 9	53%	60%
Q3h. I have received appropriate training and development to do my job well	13 45 22 13 7	57%	63%
Q3i. I have a strong desire to advance my career	33 34 22 7	67%	69%











EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK & 50% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q3j. I am satisfied with the opportunities available for career development in my organisation	38%	45%
Q3k. I would like to work in another agency within the NSW Public Sector during my career 17 25 32 16 9	42%	41%
Q5m. My manager provides acknowledgement or other recognition for the work I do	60%	67%
Q5n. My manager appropriately deals with employees who perform poorly 11 27 30 18 14	38%	44%
Q5o. My manager ensures fair access to developmental opportunities for people in my workgroup 26 11 9	54%	62%
Q5p. My manager would help me to broaden my experience by supporting my movement to another role 18 38 27 9 8	56%	60%
Q5q. My manager would help me to broaden my experience by supporting my movement to another organisation	48%	53%
Q7j. My organisation is committed to developing its employees 8 35 30 16 11	43%	53%










EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY



Disagree






EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY



Neither agree nor disagree Disagree






EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.













EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

WORKPLACE SUPPORT	60% RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT %	SECTOR
Q1f. I am provided with the support I need to optimise my contribution at work	13 38 23 18 8	51%	59%
Q1k. I am able to keep my work stress at an acceptable level	13 45 20 15 7	58%	58%
Q1I. My workload is acceptable	12 48 18 15 7	60%	55%
Q2e. I receive help and support from other members of my workgroup	24 52 15	76%	80%
Q2f. There is good team spirit in my workgroup	24 39 18 12	63%	67%
Q7k. My organisation offers practical employment arrangements and conditions to help employees achieve a work-life balance	12 43 23 14 9	54%	56%












EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY






EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.



KEY







EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

MOTIVATION TO STAY	RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT%	SECTOR
Q13. What factors would motivate you to stay in the NSW public sec	ctor?		
More interesting and challenging work		47%	46%
Better skills in my workgroup		28%	27%
Improved career opportunities		59%	52%
Improved learning and development opportunities		50%	50%
Greater involvement in decision making		34%	33%
Better pay and benefits		62%	58%
Greater recognition for the work I do		46%	45%
Better leadership from senior managers		46%	39%



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

MOTIVATION TO STAY	RESPONSE SCALE	AGREEMENT%	SECTOR
Q13. What factors would motivate you to stay in the NSW public sec	ctor?		
Better leadership from my manager		30%	27%
Better accountability for performance		29%	25%
A better location		22%	20%
More flexible working conditions		37%	38%
Better work/life balance		45%	46%
Improved facilities		32%	30%
Improved technology and systems		40%	38%
Better job security		47%	43%



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

 WORKPLACE CONDUCT
 RESPONSE SCALE
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85
 85



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

AGREEMENT% SECTOR UNACCEPTABLE CONDUCT RESPONSE SCALE Q10a. In the last 12 months I have witnessed misconduct/wrongdoing at work 25% 25% Yes 65% 64% No 11% 11% Don't Know Q10b. Have you reported the misconduct/wrongdoing you witnessed in the last 12 months? 65% 63% Yes 34% 35% No 1% 2% Don't Know



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

AGREEMENT% SECTOR UNACCEPTABLE CONDUCT RESPONSE SCALE Q10c. In the last 12 months I have witnessed bullying at work 36% 35% Yes 57% 58% No **7**% 7% Don't Know Q10d. In the last 12 months I have been the subjected to bullying at work 21% 20% Yes 73% 75% No 6% 5% Don't Know



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

A fellow worker at your level A subordinate A client or customer A member of the public other than a client or customer Other Prefer not to say

AGREEMENT% SECTOR UNACCEPTABLE CONDUCT RESPONSE SCALE Q10e. Please indicate the role of the person who has been the source of the most serious bullying you have been subjected to in the last 12 months. 25% 23% A senior manager 27% 26% Your Immediate Manager/Supervisor 22% 25% 8% 8% 1% 2% 0% 0% 3% 4% 13% 13%



EXPLORE THE FULL SURVEY RESULTS

This section shows results for all the survey questions grouped by key themes.

Graphs show the proportion of respondents answering positively (Strongly Agree and Agree), negatively (Strongly Disagree and Disagree) or those with a neutral response.

Some key comparisons are provided.

JUSTICE QUESTIONS	FICE QUESTIONS RESPONSE SCALE									
Q1. I am confident I can state the values of my organisation	16		56	21	72%					
Q2. In my work group, people are recognised when they demonstrate the right behaviour in the workplace	9	38	31	18	47%					
Q3. I am respected for the unique skills and experiences I bring to the organisation	11	42	28	14	52%					
Q4. Messages I see and hear make me feel that I belong in this organisation	9	38	35	14	46%					
Q5. I am regularly consulted on matters affecting safety in my workplace	9	37	29	18	46%					
Q6. I understand the capabilities contained within the PSC Capability Framework	9	37	35	14	46%					
Q7. I am able to use the capabilities to identify development opportunities for myself	8	35	37	15	43%					
Q8. My manager is focused on my capability development		26	36	19 11	33%					





Neither agree nor disagree

Disagree




PERSONAL PROFILES


	RESPONSE SCALE	PERCENTAGE%
Gender		
Male		52%
Female		47%
Other		1%
Age		
<35		18%
35 - 54		62% 20%
> 54		20%

PERSONAL PROFILES


DO YOU SPEAK A LANGUAGE OTHER THAN **ENGLISH AT HOME?**




DO YOU HAVE A DISABILITY?



ARE YOU OF ABORIGINAL AND/OR TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER ORIGIN?



DO YOU IDENTIFY AS LGBTI?



TO SAY



WORK PROFILES


TENURE IN ORGANISATION	RESPONSE SCALE	PERCENTAGE%
Less than 1 year		8%
1 - 2 years		7 %
2 - 5 years		11%
5 - 10 years		21%
10 - 20 years		30%
More than 20 years		22%



WORK PROFILES

TYPE OF WORK	RESPONSE SCALE	PERCENTAGE%
Service delivery involving direct contact with the general public		40%
Other service delivery work		10%
Administrative support		9%
Corporate services		8%
Policy		1%
Research		1%
Program and project management support		4%
Legal (including developing and/or reviewing legislation)		3%
Other		24%





RESULTS BY TYPE OF WORK



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Service delivery involving direct contact with the general public	Other service delivery work	Administrative support	Corporate services	Policy	Research	Program and project management support	Legal (including developing and/or reviewing legislation)	Other
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	5191	1268	1227	1008	182	153	473	403	3134
ENGAGEMENT	62%	61%	61%	67%	66%	64%	66%	67%	67%	61%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	34%	35%	47%	45%	44%	45%	45%	46%	36%
COMMUNICATION	51%	48%	50%	58%	60%	62%	60%	60%	58%	49%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	59%	58%	67%	67%	67%	67%	68%	67%	58%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	58%	57%	65%	67%	66%	67%	67%	68%	54%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	59%	60%	68%	70%	71%	71%	71%	69%	58%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

RESULTS BY SALARY



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Less than \$35,000	\$35,000 - \$44,999	\$45,000 - \$54,999	\$55,000 - \$64,999	\$65,000 - \$74,999	\$75,000 - \$84,999	\$85,000 - \$94,999	\$95,000 - \$109,999	\$110,000 - \$139,999	\$140,000 - \$169,999	\$170,000 - \$229,999	\$230,000 or more	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	271	158	452	1499	1870	1860	2048	2189	1482	307	159	51	661
ENGAGEMENT	62%	74%	69%	64%	64%	60%	61%	60%	61%	64%	72%	75%	87%	60%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	50%	48%	47%	42%	36%	34%	33%	34%	41%	51%	62 %	83%	35%
COMMUNICATION	51%	60%	58%	55%	54%	48%	49%	48%	49%	55%	66%	72%	85%	46%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	67%	68%	64%	62%	58%	58%	59%	60%	65%	71%	79%	88%	56%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	67%	66%	63%	60%	55%	57%	57%	59%	63%	71%	78%	87%	55%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	67%	69%	65%	62%	58%	59%	60%	61%	66%	74%	79%	86%	56%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

RESULTS BY TENURE IN ORGANISATION



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Less than 1 year	1 - 2 years	2 - 5 years	5 - 10 years	10 - 20 years	More than 20 years
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	1041	862	1479	2721	3927	2910
ENGAGEMENT	62%	75%	69%	65%	61%	59%	60%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	61%	49%	41%	35%	32%	35%
COMMUNICATION	51%	72 %	62%	54%	49%	46%	48%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	75%	68%	63%	59%	57%	59%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	76%	67%	62%	57%	55%	57%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	79%	71%	65%	60%	57%	59%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

RESULTS BY AGE



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 -29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	16	285	808	1198	1622	2034	2343	2046	1518	824	315
ENGAGEMENT	62%	(r)	76%	68%	64%	62%	61%	61%	61%	61%	62%	62%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	(r)	61%	47%	41%	37%	38%	35%	36%	35%	37%	39%
COMMUNICATION	51%	(r)	70%	60%	56%	51%	51%	47%	50%	49%	50%	50%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	(r)	75%	67%	63%	60%	61%	59%	60%	58%	60%	61%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	(r)	74%	66%	63%	59%	60%	57%	58%	57%	58%	59%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	(r)	78%	69%	66%	62%	62%	58%	60%	59%	60%	61%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

RESULTS BY GENDER



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Male	Female	Other
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	6778	6185	83
ENGAGEMENT	62%	61%	64%	52%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	35%	41%	22%
COMMUNICATION	51%	49%	53%	31%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	58%	64%	44%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	58%	61%	41%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	59%	64%	40%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

ABORIGINAL AND/OR TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Yes	NO	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	555	12012	477
ENGAGEMENT	62%	64%	63%	49%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	39%	38%	23%
COMMUNICATION	51%	52%	52%	32%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	61%	61%	44%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	58%	60%	43%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	61%	62%	41%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT **OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS**

LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Yes	ON.	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	1987	10759	371
ENGAGEMENT	62%	65%	62%	50%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	45%	37%	23%
COMMUNICATION	51%	56%	51%	33%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	64%	61%	44%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	62%	59%	43%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	64%	62%	42%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT **OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS**

DISABILITY



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Yes	ON.	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	595	11961	520
ENGAGEMENT	62%	56%	63%	51%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	32%	39%	24%
COMMUNICATION	51%	44%	52%	34%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	55%	62%	46%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	54%	60%	45%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	55%	63%	43%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

MENTAL HEALTH



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Yes	ON.	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	1049	11498	534
ENGAGEMENT	62%	53%	64%	50%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	27%	39%	24%
COMMUNICATION	51%	41%	53%	35%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	52%	62%	45%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	51%	61%	44%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	53%	63%	44%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Yes	ON.	Prefer not to say
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	612	12032	427
ENGAGEMENT	62%	63%	63%	52%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	39%	38%	25%
COMMUNICATION	51%	52%	52%	37%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	62%	61%	46%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	60%	60%	45%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	62%	62%	45%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

WHAT IS YOUR WORK LOCATION?



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Metropolitan NSW	Regional NSW
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	8398	4642
ENGAGEMENT	62%	64%	60%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	40%	34%
COMMUNICATION	51%	54%	46%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	63%	57%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	62%	55%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	64%	57%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT THAN REPORT SCORE

WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR ROLE IN THE JUSTICE CLUSTER?



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Sworn police officer - general duties	Sworn police officer - other	Non-sworn employee of NSW Police Force	Permanent Fire fighter	Retained Fire fighter	Custodial Officer	Youth Worker	Legal officer or other legal professional	Administrative or other clerical worker	Sheriff's Officer	Community Corrections Officer (Probation & Parole)	Psychologist	Teacher
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	851	1407	988	631	204	1992	282	339	3026	163	474	118	143
ENGAGEMENT	62%	58%	59%	65%	68%	79%	57%	60%	67%	64%	49%	63%	61%	52%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	31%	33%	41%	28%	51%	33%	35%	47%	44%	21%	38%	32%	25%
COMMUNICATION	51%	40%	46%	50%	49%	57%	44%	47%	59%	57%	37%	54%	58%	53%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	55%	58%	62%	57%	65%	53%	60%	68%	65%	45%	65%	67%	60%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	57%	58%	61%	59%	67%	48%	56%	69%	64%	43%	63%	62%	59%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	50%	57%	62%	61%	66%	52%	57%	70%	67%	48%	67%	73%	62%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT **OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS**

WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR ROLE IN THE JUSTICE CLUSTER?



EXPLORE THE RESULTS FOR DIFFERENT GROUPS OF EMPLOYEES

The Engagement score is weighted. It cannot be compared with other scores which are the average of % agreement results for all questions in each group.

Differences have been highlighted where they are 5 or more % points above or below the scores in the first column.

	Justice	Welfare Officer	Other
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	14988	115	2367
ENGAGEMENT	62%	55%	66%
SENIOR MANAGERS	38%	33%	41%
COMMUNICATION	51%	43%	56%
HIGH PERFORMANCE	61%	52%	64%
PUBLIC SECTOR VALUES	59%	47%	63%
DIVERSITY & INCLUSION	61%	52%	67%

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN REPORT SCORE

THAN REPORT SCORE

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS r = DATA RESTRICTED AS BELOW CUT **OFF LIMIT OF 30 RESPONDENTS**

GUIDE TO THIS REPORT



ANONYMITY RULES

Responses from individual employees are confidential and strict rules are in place to safeguard privacy at every stage of the survey process.

There is no way of tracing individuals in reports or through the de-identified survey data. There are limits on the size of workgroups that can be reported (10 or more employees) and responses from demographic groups (30 or more employees). Where people work in small teams, the results are merged with larger business units and results are not available. Where this happens an 'r' is shown in reports.



HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The majority of questions have a 5-point answer scale. In reports, the Strongly Agree and Agree scores are combined to create an agreement (or positive) score which is shown as a rounded percentage.

Previous surveys had a 4-point answer scale, which means that comparisons are limited for this year only. Some scales, such as those used for the Engagement Index, are unchanged.





HOW THE DRIVERS AND INFLUENCERS ARE DERIVED

ORC International employs SPSS software to fully interrogate the results and perform appropriate calculations. Our statisticians need a minimum of **30 responses** from a team to perform the analysis to determine the top influences of engagement, leadership and other key question groups.

Statistical techniques applied for this analysis include **Factor Analysis** which identifies patterns in data and allows the statistician to assess whether the attitude questions are measuring the same underlying theme or characteristic.

Regression Analysis then involves building a statistical model based on research that employee engagement is affected by various elements of the workplace such as line manager, learning & development, reward and recognition, job role, etc. This analysis assigns importance weights to the attributes that have been measured. It relies on certain assumptions, one of which is that the variables used in the model should, by definition, not be strongly related to each other. The outcome of these techniques is a list of questions which we can say have the highest association with the engagement index, or more simply, are the most significant influencers of employee engagement



ROUNDING

Results are presented as whole numbers for ease of reading, with rounding performed at the last stage of the calculation for maximum accuracy.

Values from .00 to .49 are rounded down, whereas values from .50 to .99 are rounded up. Therefore, in some instances the data will not add up to 100%. Example below:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%